Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Albina Castro-Méndez,^a Sylvain Bernès^b* and Jesús Sandoval-Ramírez^a

^aFacultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Ciudad Universitaria, 72595 Puebla, Pue., Mexico, and ^bCentro de Química, Instituto de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, A.P. 1613, 72000 Puebla, Pue., Mexico

Correspondence e-mail: sylvain@eros.pquim.unam.mx

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.042 wR factor = 0.114 Data-to-parameter ratio = 7.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The title compound, $C_{27}H_{40}O_5$, obtained through a four-step synthesis starting from diosgenin, has an oxirane ring fused with ring *A* of the steroid nucleus. As a consequence, ring *A* adopts an envelope conformation.

(25R)-1a,2a-Epoxy-3a-hydroxy-5a-spirostan-6-one

Received 20 May 2004 Accepted 4 June 2004 Online 12 June 2004

Comment

Steroids with an oxirane ring at position $1\alpha, 2\alpha$ are suitable frameworks for the generatation of 1- and 2-substituted steroid derivatives. For example, this functionality has been used to obtain 1- and 2-oxygenated vitamin D analogues (Zhu & Okamura, 1995). The usual way to achieve such an oxirane ring at this position is through the oxidation of a double bond at C1. This synthetic step is generally performed using OsO₄, but because it is an expensive, difficult to handle and hazardous material, we changed to permanganate ammonium salts, according to reports on the use of these reagents as oxidants (Bhushan et al., 1984; Hazra et al., 1994; Hazra et al., 1997). By applying this synthetic step to (25R)-5 α -spiro-2-ene-6-one, we obtained the expected 2α , 3α -dihydroxy derivative, but also a by-product, (I), which was identified by its crystal structure as (25R)-1 α ,2 α -epoxy-3 α -hydroxy-5 α -spirostan-6-one (see Experimental). We report here the crystal structure of this compound.



The title compound (Fig. 1 and Table 1) displays the expected A-E steroid nucleus, including a *trans* A/B junction. The E-F system is characteristic of diosgenin derivatives, including a spiroketal functional group at C22. Ring F adopts the expected chair conformation and five-membered rings D and E adopt approximate envelope conformations, a common feature observed for steroids based on the diosgenin framework (*e.g.* Yu & Tao, 2002). Rings B and C are very close to having chair forms [$\theta = 7.07$ (34) and 6.03 (3)°, respectively], a feature also found in the vast majority of steroidal compounds, including diosgenin derivatives (*e.g.* Castro-Méndez *et al.*, 2002). Ring A is best described as having an envelope conformation (EC) with C5 as the flap atom [puckering angles: $\theta = 53.9$ (5) and $\varphi = 235.0$ (6)°], representing a strong

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

The structure of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms have omitted for clarity, except H3 of the hydroxyl group.

conformational distortion compared to non-substituted A rings in the steroid series, which generally adopt a chair conformation (CC). The observed distortion clearly arises from the presence of the fused epoxy ring, inducing a strongly strained bicyclic system. Such a $CC \rightarrow EC$ distortion has been reported for 3α , 4α -epoxy- 5α -hydroxyandrostane-6-17-dione (Hanson *et al.*, 1999) and for a 2α , 3α -epoxy-spirostane compound (Morales et al., 2000). The oxirane ring in (I) does not deviate from the mean geometry observed in other oxirane-containing molecules (Table 1).

Experimental

(25R)-5 α -Spirost-2-en-6-one was prepared as previously reported, using a three-step procedure starting from diosgenin (Castro-Méndez, 2003). An amount of this spirostene (1.35 g, 3.27 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) and an excess of a ^tBuOH/H₂O mixture (20:5) was added to this solution. A cetyltrimethylammonium permanganate solution in the same 'BuOH/H2O heterogeneous solvent system was then slowly added dropwise to the previous mixture, following the reaction by TLC. After completion, the mixture was filtered through silica gel, the solvent was removed and the resulting crude material was purified by flash chromatography, to give (25R)-2 α ,3 α -dihydroxy-5 α -spirostan-6-one (0.65 g, yield 45%) and (25R)-1 α ,2 α -epoxy-3 α -hydroxy-5 α -spirostan-6-one, (I), as a minor product (0.073 g, yield 5%). The latter was crystallized from a $CCl_4/CHCl_3$ solution (3/2).

Crystal data

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.035$

$C_{27}H_{40}O_5$	$D_x = 1.229 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 444.59$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1$	Cell parameters from 71
$a = 10.9714 (9) \text{\AA}$	reflections
b = 7.7711 (8) Å	$\theta = 3.8 - 12.0^{\circ}$
c = 14.3786 (11) Å	$\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 101.464 (4)^{\circ}$	T = 298 (1) K
V = 1201.46 (18) Å ³	Plate, colourless
Z = 2	$0.60\times0.18\times0.08~\mathrm{mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker P4 diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$
ω scans	$h = -13 \rightarrow 9$
Absorption correction: none	$k = -9 \rightarrow 1$
4919 measured reflections	$l = -16 \rightarrow 17$
2282 independent reflections	2 standard reflections
1591 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	every 48 reflections

every 48 reflections intensity decay: 1.5%

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0538P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$	+ 0.0998P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.114$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.06	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2282 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.16 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
293 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.17 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
	Extinction coefficient: 0.012 (2)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

C1-O1	1.443 (4)	C20-C21	1.517 (7)
C1-C2	1.468 (5)	C22-C20	1.540 (6)
C1-C10	1.503 (5)	C22-O26	1.427 (4)
C2-O1	1.459 (4)	C22-O22	1.427 (5)
C2-C3	1.502 (6)	C22-C23	1.510 (5)
C3-O3	1.422 (4)	C25-C27	1.523 (8)
C6-O6	1.215 (4)	O3-H3	0.99 (8)
O1-C1-C2	60.2 (2)	O26-C22-C23	110.7 (3)
O1-C1-C10	115.0 (3)	O22-C22-C23	108.1 (3)
C2-C1-C10	121.8 (3)	O26-C22-C20	106.8 (3)
O1-C2-C1	59.1 (2)	O22-C22-C20	105.1 (3)
O1-C2-C3	116.4 (3)	C23-C22-C20	115.9 (3)
C1-C2-C3	122.3 (4)	C1-O1-C2	60.8 (2)
O26-C22-O22	110.1 (3)	C3-O3-H3	108 (3)

H atoms bonded to C were placed at idealized positions, while the H atom of the O3 hydroxyl group was found in a difference map. All H atoms were constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with $U_{iso}(H) = xU_{eq}(parent)$. Constrained distances and x parameters: methine CH: 0.98 Å, x = 1.2; methylene CH₂: 0.97 Å, x = 1.5; methyl CH₃: 0.96 Å, x = 1.5; hydroxyl OH: distance found from difference map and x = 1.5. In the absence of significant anomalous scattering, 336 measured Friedel pairs were merged and the absolute configuration was assumed from the synthesis.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: XSCANS; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1998); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL-Plus; molecular graphics: SHELXTL-Plus; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL-Plus.

ACM thanks CONACyT for studentship 112555.

References

- Bhushan, V., Rathore, R. & Chandrasekaran, S. (1984). Synthesis, pp. 431-433. Castro-Méndez, A. (2003). PhD thesis, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Mexico.
- Castro-Méndez, A., Sandoval-Ramírez, J. & Bernès, S. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, 0606-0608
- Hanson, J. R., Hitchcock, P. B. & Nagaratnam, S. (1999). J. Chem. Res. Miniprint, 22, 319.
- Hazra, B. G., Chordia, M. D., Bahule, B. B., Pore, V. S. & Basu, S. (1994). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 1667-1669.
- Hazra, B. G., Kumar, T. P. & Joshi, P. L. (1997). Liebigs Ann. Recl. pp. 1029-1034.
- Morales, A. D., de Armas, H. N., Blaton, N. M., Peeters, O. M., De Ranter, C. J. & Arteaga, M.-I. (2000). J. Chem. Cryst. 30, 693-697.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). SHELXTL-Plus. Release 5.10. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1996). XSCANS. Version 2.21. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Yu, B. & Tao, H. (2002). J. Org. Chem. 67, 9099-9102.
- Zhu, G.-D. & Okamura, W. H. (1995). Chem. Rev. 95, 1877-1952.